

Designation: D7319 - 09

Standard Test Method for Determination of Total and Potential Sulfate and Inorganic Chloride in Fuel Ethanol by Direct Injection Suppressed Ion Chromatography¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D7319; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope*

- 1.1 This test method covers a direct injection ion chromatographic procedure for determining the total and potential inorganic sulfate and total inorganic chloride content in hydrous and anhydrous denatured ethanol to be used in motor fuel applications. It is intended for the analysis of ethanol samples containing between 1.0–20 mg/kg of total or potential inorganic sulfate and 1.0–50 mg/kg of inorganic chloride.
- 1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.
- 1.3 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use. Material Safety Data Sheets are available for reagents and materials. Review them for hazards prior to usage.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

D1193 Specification for Reagent Water

D4052 Test Method for Density, Relative Density, and API Gravity of Liquids by Digital Density Meter

D4057 Practice for Manual Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products

D4177 Practice for Automatic Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products

D5827 Test Method for Analysis of Engine Coolant for Chloride and Other Anions by Ion Chromatography

D6299 Practice for Applying Statistical Quality Assurance and Control Charting Techniques to Evaluate Analytical

Measurement System Performance

D6792 Practice for Quality System in Petroleum Products and Lubricants Testing Laboratories

D7318 Test Method for Total Inorganic Sulfate in Ethanol by Potentiometric Titration

D7328 Test Method for Determination of Total and Potential Inorganic Sulfate and Total Inorganic Chloride in Fuel Ethanol by Ion Chromatography Using Aqueous Sample Injection

2.2 European Norm Standards:³

EN 15492 Ethanol as a blending component for petrol - Determination of inorganic chloride and sulfate content - Ion chromatographic method

3. Terminology

- 3.1 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:
- 3.1.1 *inorganic chloride*, *n*—chloride present as hydrochloric acid, ionic salts of this acid, or mixtures of these.
- 3.1.2 *inorganic sulfate*, n—sulfate (SO_4^{-2}) species present as sulfuric acid, ionic salts of this acid, or mixtures of these.
- 3.1.3 *potential sulfate*, *n*—inorganic sulfate species present after the sample has been reacted with an oxidizing agent.
- 3.1.4 *total sulfate*, *n*—inorganic sulfate species actually present in the sample at the time of analysis with no oxidation treatment.

4. Summary of Test Method


4.1 For total sulfate and chloride, a small volume of an ethanol sample is directly injected into a suitably configured ion chromatograph in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations for this test method. For potential sulfate, 0.5 mL of 30 % hydrogen peroxide solution is added to 9.5 mL of the ethanol sample, and then injected into the ion chromatograph. Ions are separated based on their affinity for exchange sites of the resin with respect to the resin's affinity for the eluent. The suppressor increases the sensitivity of the test method by both increasing the conductivity of the analytes and decreasing the conductivity of the eluent. It also converts the eluent and analytes to the corresponding hydrogen forms of anions.

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D02 on Petroleum Products and Lubricants and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D02.03 on Elemental Analysis.

Current edition approved Nov. 1, 2009. Published December 2009. Originally approved in 2007. Last previous edition approved in 2007 as D7319–07. DOI: 10.1520/D7319-09.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

³ Available from American National Standards Institute (ANSI), 25 W. 43rd St., 4th Floor, New York, NY 10036, http://www.ansi.org.



Note—Chromatogram retention times may be different depending on instruments or columns used.

FIG. 1 Typical Ion Chromatogram of a Solution Containing 1 mg/L of Various Anions in Water

Anions are quantified by integration of their responses compared with an external calibration curve, and are measured as milligrams/litre, then converted to milligrams/kilograms. The calibration standards are prepared in an aqueous matrix.

- 4.1.1 The use of acid suppression technology is more significant for the precision of sulfate measurement than for chloride. Sulfate precision will be worse if acid suppression is not used.
- 4.2 Similar methods for chloride and sulfate determinations can be found in EN 15492 for total chloride, in Test Method D7328 for total chloride and total and potential sulfate by ion chromatography with aqueous sample injection, and in Test Method D7318 for total sulfate by potentiometric lead titration and Test Method D5827 for chloride and other anions in engine coolants by ion chromatography.

5. Significance and Use

- 5.1 Sulfates and chlorides can be found in filter plugging deposits and fuel injector deposits. The acceptability for use of the fuel components and the finished fuels depends on the sulfate and chloride content.
- 5.2 Total and potential sulfate and total chloride content, as measured by this test method, can be used as one measure of the acceptability of gasoline components for automotive sparkignition engine fuel use.

6. Interferences

6.1 Interferences can be caused by substances with similar ion chromatographic retention times, especially if they are in high concentration compared to the analyte of interest. Sample dilution can be used to minimize or resolve most interference problems.

- 6.2 A water dip (system void, negative peak as shown in Fig. 1) can cause interference with some integrators. Usually, for chloride and sulfate determinations, the water dip should not be a problem since the chloride and sulfate peaks are far away from the water dip.
- 6.3 Given the trace amounts of chloride and sulfate determined in this test method, interferences can be caused by contamination of glassware, eluent, reagents, and the like. For example, sodium leaching from glassware can precipitate with sulfate giving low sulfate results. Take care to ensure that contamination is kept at the lowest possible levels. The use of powder-free gloves is highly recommended to prevent sample contamination.

7. Apparatus

- 7.1 *Analytical Balance*, capable of weighing up to 100 g accurately to 0.0001 g.
- 7.2 Drying Oven, controlled at 110 ± 5 °C for drying sodium sulfate and sodium chloride.
- 7.3 *Desiccator*, containing freshly activated silica gel (or equivalent desiccant) with moisture content indicator.
- 7.4 Pipets or Volumetric Transferring Devices, appropriately sized Class A glass pipets or their equivalent or variable volume automatic pipets fitted with disposable polypropylene tips.
- 7.5 Volumetric Flasks (with Glass Stoppers), Class A of 10, 25, 50, 100, 1000, 2000–cc capacity.
- 7.5.1 *Container*, equipped with a closure to prevent ethanol evaporation, 1 L (for sample collection). See 6.3 for additional information.

- 7.6 *Ion Chromatograph*, analytical system with all required accessories including syringes, columns, suppressor, and detector.
- 7.6.1 *Injection System*, capable of delivering 20 μ L with a precision better than 1 %, or as recommended for this determination by the manufacturer.
- 7.6.2 *Pumping System*, capable of delivering mobile phase flows between 0.2 and 2.5 mL/min with a precision better than 2%, or as recommended for this determination by the manufacturer.
- 7.6.3 *Guard Column*, for protection of the analytical column from strongly retained constituents. Better separations are obtained with additional theoretical plates.
- 7.6.4 Anion Separator Column, 100 % solvent compatible and capable of producing satisfactory analyte (sulfate and chloride) separation (Fig. 1).
- 7.6.5 *Anion Suppressor Device*, vendor specific and capable of using acid suppression technology.
- 7.6.5.1 *Tri-Chamber Approach*, 100 % solvent compatible tri-chamber micro packed bed with cation exchange resin (or equivalent). Suppressor device should simultaneously be online continuously chemically regenerated to its hydrogen form using any mineral acid providing H+ ion.
- 7.6.5.2 *Continuous Approach*, Continuous suppressor membrane regeneration.
- 7.6.6 Conductivity Detector, (low volume), temperature controlled to $0.01^{\circ}C$, capable of at least 0 to 1000 μ S/cm on a linear scale.
- 7.6.7 Integrator or Chromatography Data System Software, capable of measuring peak areas and retention times, and correcting the data in accordance with the baseline of the chromatogram.
 - 7.7 Gloves, powder-free examination type.

8. Reagents

- 8.1 Purity of Reagents—Reagent grade or higher purity chemicals shall be used for the preparation of all samples, standards, eluent, and regenerator solutions. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available.⁴ Other grades may be used, provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.
- 8.2 Purity of Water—Unless otherwise indicated, reference to water shall be understood to mean reagent water as defined by Type II or better in Specification D1193. For eluent preparation and handling, comply with all ion chromatograph instrument and column vendor requirements (for example, filtering, degassing, and the like).

 $8.3~\textit{Eluent Buffer Stock Solution}\mbox{—Sodium bicarbonate}$ (NaHCO3) 1.0~mM and sodium carbonate (Na2CO3) 3.2~mM. Dissolve $8.4~\pm~0.0005~g$ of NaHCO3 and $33.92~\pm~0.0005~g$ of Na2CO3 in reagent water in a 1-L Type A volumetric flask and dilute to volume. Dilute 10.0~mL of this stock solution to 1 L in a 1-L Type A volumetric flask with degassed reagent water. The eluent solution used may be different if other system or analytical columns are used.

Note 1—Other volumes of stock solution can be prepared using appropriate ratios of reagents. Follow the specific guidelines for this solution from the vendor of the column being used. Alternatively, this solution can be purchased from a qualified vendor.

8.4 Suppressor Regenerant Solution for Suppressor—0.1 M sulfuric acid. Carefully add 334 mL of reagent grade sulfuric acid (relative density 1.84) to approximately 500 mL reagent water in a 1-L volumetric flask. (Warning—This will generate a very hot solution. Allow it to cool before diluting to 1000 mL volume. Never add water to concentrated acid.) Dilute to 1000 mL with reagent water, and label this solution as 10.0 M sulfuric acid. Dilute 10.0 mL of this concentrate to 1000 mL with reagent water for the final 0.1 M working suppressor solution.

Note 2—Other volumes of this solution can be prepared using appropriate ratios of reagents. Follow the specific guidelines for this solution from the vendor of the suppressor being used.

- 8.5 *Sodium Sulfate*—anhydrous, reagent grade, 99 % minimum purity. (**Warning**—Do not ingest. Avoid unnecessary exposure.)
- 8.6 *Sodium Chloride*—ACS or reagent grade, 99 % minimum purity.
- 8.7 *Ethanol*—denatured with methanol, formula 3A or histological grade ethanol, anhydrous, denatured with ethyl acetate, methylisobutyl ketone and hydrocarbon naphtha. (**Warning**—Flammable, toxic, and may be harmful or fatal if ingested or inhaled. Avoid skin contact.)
- 8.8 Hydrogen Peroxide—ACS reagent grade, 30 wt % in water.
- 8.9 *Hydrogen Peroxide Solution*, 30 %—commercially available 30 % hydrogen peroxide solution.

9. Preparation of Standard Solutions

9.1 Stock Solutions:

9.1.1 Sulfate Stock Solution, approximately 2000 mg/L—To ensure dryness, place anhydrous sodium sulfate (5 g) in a drying oven at 110°C for at least an hour, cool, and store in a desiccator. Accurately weigh 2.96 g anhydrous sodium sulfate to the nearest tenth of a milligram and transfer to a 1–L volumetric flask. Add water to dissolve the sodium sulfate, and make to volume. Calculate the concentration of sulfate in the solution in accordance with Eq 1. Other volumes of stock solution can be prepared using the appropriate ratio of reagents.

Stock Sulfate (mg/L) =
$$(g Na_2SO_4) (0.6764) (1000 mg/g)/1 L$$
 (1)

where:

g Na₂SO₄ = weight in grams of Na₂SO₄ dissolved in 1 L, and

⁴ Reagent Chemicals, American Chemical Society Specifications, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. For Suggestions on the testing of reagents not listed by the American Chemical Society, see Annual Standards for Laboratory Chemicals, BDH Ltd., Poole, Dorset, U.K., and the United States Pharmacopeia and National Formulary, U.S. Pharmacopeial Convention, Inc. (USPC), Rockville, MD.

0.6764 = weight percent sulfate in Na₂SO₄.

9.1.2 Chloride Stock Solution (approximately 2000 mg/L)—To ensure dryness, place sodium chloride (5 g) in a drying oven at 110°C for at least an hour, cool, and store in a desiccator. Accurately weigh 3.30 g dried sodium chloride to the nearest tenth of a milligram and transfer to a 1–L volumetric flask. Add water to dissolve the sodium chloride and make to volume. Calculate the concentration of chloride in the solution in accordance with Eq 2. Other volumes of stock solution can be prepared using the appropriate ratio of reagents.

Stock Chloride (mg/L) =
$$(g \text{ NaCl}) (0.6068) (1000 \text{ mg/g})/1 \text{ L}$$
 (2)

where:

- g NaCl = weight in grams of NaCl dissolved in 1 L, and 0.6068 = weight percent chloride in NaCl.
- 9.2 Chloride and Sulfate Standards in Water—Add water and sulfate and chloride stock solutions are added to a 1–L glass volumetric flask in accordance with Table 1 to achieve the desired standard.
- 9.2.1 Chloride and sulfate stock solutions from 9.1 are added quantitatively into the flask and mixed quantitatively with water in accordance with Table 1. Be very careful to measure the exact volumes of the sulfate and chloride stock solutions that are added to the flask, and fill the flask to 1.00 L with water. The sulfate and chloride concentrations of each standard are calculated in accordance with Eq 3 and Eq 4.

Sulfate in Standard (mg/L) =
$$V_a \times C_a / V$$
 (3)

Chloride in Standard (mg/L) =
$$V_b \times C_b / V$$
 (4)

where:

- V_a = volume of sulfate stock solution (9.1.1), in mL, C_a = concentration of sulfate stock (Eq 1), in mg/L, V_b = volume of chloride stock solution (9.1.2) in mL, C_b = concentration of chloride stock (Eq 2), in mg/L, V_b = final volume of standard solution, in L.
- 9.2.2 Multiples or fractions of Table 1 values can be used to prepare different volumes of standards, but Eq 3 and Eq 4 are still used to calculate standard ion concentrations.

Note 3—Alternatively, commercially available stock calibration solutions can be used, provided that the solutions are traceable to primary stock solutions or certified reference materials and are free from other analytes.

10. Calibration

- 10.1 Set up the ion chromatograph in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. No specific parameters are given here since different equipment will require differing eluent, flow conditions, and instrument settings. Calibrate the ion chromatograph with at least five levels of sulfate and chloride, starting near but above the minimum detection limit, and further defining the working range in samples subsequently to be analyzed. Use concentrations of calibrant solutions that bracket the expected range for the samples to be analyzed. Use one or more mid-range standards shall be used to verify the linearity of the calibration plot.
 - 10.1.1 Typical ion chromatographic conditions:

Flow: 0.7 mL/min Suppressor flow: 0.5 to 1.0 mL/min Sample loop: 20 µL

- 10.1.2 Other analytical conditions can be used per the manufacturer's instructions. It is important that the resulting chromatogram contain chloride and sulfate peaks with baseline separation like that shown in Fig. 1. It is the user's responsibility to determine retention times for each analyte ion. If present in sufficient quantity, other anions can interfere with the chloride and sulfate measurements.
- Note 4—The sample loop volume will vary based on the column capacity, sensitivity, and other factors. Refer to ion chromatography equipment manuals and column information for machine-specific details.
- 10.1.3 Establish analytical curves at only one detector scale setting in order to prevent a change of slope affecting the analytical curve.
- 10.2 Verify the analytical calibration plot daily or whenever samples are to be run, prior to the analysis of samples to verify the system resolution, calibration, and sensitivity as part of the quality verification process (see Section 14).
- 10.3 Rerun the sulfate and chloride calibration plots after any change of the ion chromatography eluent solution from 8.3, to reestablish ion retention times and resolution.
- 10.4 Measurement of the calibration standards. Inject 20 μ L of each calibration solution from 9.2 into the ion chromatograph, and measure the areas of the peaks corresponding to sulfate and chloride ions. An ion chromatogram of a 1 mg/L calibration solution is shown in Fig. 1 (other anions, if present, will elute as shown). It is the user's responsibility to determine retention times for each analyte ion.
- 10.5 Construct the sulfate and chloride calibration plots by plotting the peak area counts against the sulfate and chloride ion concentrations. Use linear regression to determine the best straight line calibration, the plots should each have a linear least squares correlation coefficient of 0.99 or greater, see Figs. 2 and 3. The response factor for each ion, R_f , is the slope of the calibration plot straight line, in mg/L/(area count).

Note 5—If the plot of the peak area values against the ion concentrations is not linear (the correlation factor should be at least 0.99), the procedure should be checked for errors, and if necessary, the calibration should be repeated starting from Section 9.

11. Procedure

- 11.1 Obtain samples in accordance with Practice D4057 or Practice D4177. Samples should be well mixed to ensure homogeneity. Take a representative portion for analysis. Collect samples in containers with closures that seal well to prevent evaporation of ethanol. Samples containers should be clean and not contain any residual sulfate or chloride. If containers have been cleaned and rinsed with water, rinse them with water and dry them prior to use.
- 11.1.1 Thoroughly mix the samples in their containers immediately prior to withdrawal of a test specimen.
- 11.2 Set up the ion chromatograph in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.
- 11.2.1 Equilibrate the system by pumping eluent for 15 to 30 min, until a stable baseline is obtained.

TABLE 1 Typical Volumetric Preparation of Chloride and Sulfate Standards in Water

Chloride and Sulfate Standards mg Chloride and Sulfate (each)/1 L water	Chloride Stock Solution, mL	Sulfate Stock Solution, mL
50 Cl ⁻ only	25	
20	10	10
10	5	5
5	2.5	2.5
1	0.5	0.5
0.5	0.25	0.25
0.3	0.15	0.15




FIG. 2 Typical Chloride Calibration Plot




FIG. 3 Typical Sulfate Calibration Plot

- 11.2.2 Start the ion chromatographic run in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.
 - 11.3 Total Sulfate and Chloride:
- 11.3.1 Obtain the denatured ethanol sample to be analyzed, no sample preparation is necessary.

- 11.3.2 The dilution factor D_f equals 1.0, since the sample is not diluted.
 - 11.3.3 Go to 11.6, if not analyzing for potential sulfate.
 - 11.4 Potential Sulfate:
- 11.4.1 Add 9.5 mL of the denatured ethanol test specimen to a clean, dry, tared 10-mL glass volumetric cylinder.
- 11.4.2 Add 0.5 mL of 30% hydrogen peroxide solution to the sample (final concentration of 1.5% hydrogen peroxide), and shake it thoroughly for at least 30 s.
 - 11.4.3 The dilution factor D_f equals 1.05.
- 11.5 Inject 20 μ L of sample solution from 11.3 or 11.4 into the ion chromatograph, and measure the areas of the peaks corresponding to chloride and sulfate ions.
- 11.6 If the concentration of the anion of interest exceeds that of the highest calibration solution, dilute the sample solution with denatured ethanol containing no measurable sulfate or chloride as appropriate, and repeat the sample measurement. Take into account the dilution factor in the calculation of sulfate content in the ethanol sample, and calculate the dilution factor F as shown in Eq 5.

$$F = V_f / V_i \tag{5}$$

where:

F = the dilution factor.

 V_i = the volume of the initial sample diluted, in mL, and

 V_f = the volume of the final solution, in mL.

12. Calculation

12.1 Calculate the concentrations of sulfate or chloride in the ethanol sample as mg/L (μ g/mL) as shown in Eq 6.

$$C = A \times R_f \times F \times D_f \tag{6}$$

where:

C = concentration of anion in the original ethanol sample,in mg/L,

A = anion peak area, from the ion chromatogram in 11.5, in counts.

 R_f = calibration plot response factor from 11.6, in mg/L/counts,

F = dilution factor from Eq 5 (= 1.0 if no dilution), and

 D_f = dilution factor equals 1.0 for total sulfate and chloride from 11.3, 1.05 for potential sulfate from 11.4.

12.2 The units of mg/L (volume) can be converted to mg/kg (weight) using the density of the same denatured ethanol as is present in the sample, as in Eq 7. Denatured ethanol density can be measured using Test Method D4052.

Sulfate
$$(mg/kg) = Sulfate (mg/L)/D$$
 (7)

TABLE 2 Possible Sulfate and Chloride Check Samples

Chloride and Sulfate Standards mg Chloride and Sulfate (each)/1 L water	Volume of final solution,	Chloride Stock Solution, mL	Sulfate Stock Solution, mL
50 mg/L, Cl ⁻ only	1.00	25	
20	1.00	10	10
10	1.00	5	5
5	1.00	2.5	2.5
1	1.00	0.5	0.5
0.5	1.00	0.25	0.25
0.3	1.00	0.15	0.15

where:

D = Density of denatured ethanol, in g/mL for total sulfate,

D = Density of the ethanol/peroxide diluted sample, in g/mL for potential sulfate.

Note 6—Any amount of water present in the ethanol samples will affect the sample density. Measurement of the sample density is necessary to achieve the most accurate results, especially in the case of the potential sulfate where the water content is approximately $5\,\%$ of the injected sample volume.

13. Report

13.1 Report the chloride and sulfate content results to nearest 0.1 mg/kg over the calibration range. Specify that these results were obtained using ASTM Test Method D7319.

14. Quality Control (QC)

14.1 Confirm the performance of the instrument or the test procedure by analyzing one or more quality check sample(s) after each calibration and on at least each day of use thereafter. For example, a good check sample could be a single representative ethanol sample (see X1.5) that is analyzed repetitively by procedures in 11.2-11.6. These results are plotted in control charts to check the system for statistical stability, as in X1.3.

14.1.1 Check samples can be prepared in water. Aqueous stock sulfate and chloride aliquots are added to water in accordance with Table 2 and the total volume of the resulting solution. The ion concentrations are calculated in accordance with Eq 8 and Eq 9. Check samples generated from Table 2 are then analyzed by the procedures in 11.2-11.6. These results are plotted in a control chart to check the system for statistical stability, as in X1.3.

Sulfate in Standard (mg/L) =
$$V_a \times C_a \times 10^{-3}$$
 (8)

Chloride in Standard (mg/L) =
$$V_b \times C_b \times 10^{-3}$$
 (9)

where:

 V_a = volume of sulfate stock solution (9.1.1), in mL,

 C_a = concentration of sulfate stock (Eq 1), in mg/L,

 V_b = volume of chloride stock solution (9.1.2), in mL,

 C_b = concentration of chloride stock (Eq 2), in mg/L.

14.1.2 When there is no QC/QA protocol established in the testing facility, Appendix X1 can be used as the QC/QA system.

15. Precision and Bias 5

15.1 Precision—The precision of this test method was determined by the statistical evaluation of the interlaboratory test results. For measuring total chloride, both methods of suppression provided a single precision statement. For total and potential sulfate, the data indicated two distinct statistical populations, reflecting use of the packed bed tri-chamber suppressor regeneration approach and the continuous suppressor membrane regeneration approach. Repeatability and reproducibility statements for total chloride and total and potential sulfate are provided with calculated values in Table 3.

15.1.1 Repeatability (r)—The difference between successive test results, obtained by the same operator using the same apparatus under constant operating conditions on identical test material, would in the long run, in the normal and correct operation of this test method provide the following repeatability values:

15.1.1.1 *Total Chloride*—The pooled limit of quantitation (PLOQ) is 0.75 mg/kg. Repeatability *r* between 0.75 and 50 mg/kg total chloride measured is calculated as follows:

$$r = 6.851E - 02 \times X^{0.7000} \tag{10}$$

15.1.1.2 *Total Sulfate*—The pooled limit of quantitation (PLOQ) is 0.01 mg/kg. Repeatability r between 1.0 and 20 mg/kg total sulfate measured is calculated as follows:

$$r = 0.09030 \times (X + 1.000E - 04)^{0.6230} \tag{11}$$

15.1.1.3 *Potential Sulfate*—The pooled limit of quantitation (PLOQ) is 0.01 mg/kg. Repeatability r between 1.0 and 20 mg/kg potential sulfate measured is calculated as follows:

$$r = 0.09645 \times (X + 0.59494)^{0.8642} \tag{12}$$

15.1.2 *Reproducibility* (*R*)—The difference between two single and independent test results, obtained by different operators working in different laboratories on identical test material, would in the long run, in normal and correct operation of this test method provide the following reproducibility values:

15.1.2.1 *Total Chloride*—The Reproducibility R between 0.75 and 50 mg/kg total chloride measured is calculated as follows:

⁵ Supporting data will be filed at ASTM International Headquarters and may be obtained by requesting Research Report D02-1614.

TABLE 3 Test Method Precision

Analyte	mg/kg	Repeatability (r)	Reproducibility (R)
Total Chloride	1.0	0.07	0.42
	20.0	0.56	3.40
	50.0	1.06	6.45
Total Sulfate	1.0	0.09	1.23
	4.0	0.21	2.92
	20.0	0.58	7.95
Potential Sulfate	1.0	0.14	1.41
	4.0	0.36	3.51
	20.0	1.32	12.85

$$R = 0.4172 \times X^{0.7000} \tag{13}$$

15.1.2.2 *Total Sulfate*—Reproducibility *R* between 1.0 and 20 mg/kg total sulfate measured is calculated as follows:

$$R = 1.2291 \times (X + 1.000E - 04)^{0.6230} \tag{14}$$

15.1.2.3 *Potential Sulfate*—Reproducibility *R* between 1.0 and 20 mg/kg potential sulfate measured is calculated as follows:

$$R = 0.9408 \times (X + 0.59494)^{0.8642} \tag{15}$$

where:

X = analyte concentration in mg/kg.

15.2 *Bias*—Since no generally accepted standard reference materials with known values of sulfate and chloride in ethanol are available, bias of this test method cannot be determined.

15.3 Table 3 shows precision values calculated from Eq 10–Eq 15.

16. Keywords

16.1 anions; chloride; direct injection; ethanol; inorganic chloride; inorganic sulfate; potential sulfate; sulfate; suppressed ion chromatography; total chloride; total sulfate

APPENDIX

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. QUALITY CONTROL (QC)

- X1.1 Confirm the performance of the instrument or the test procedure by analyzing a quality control sample.
- X1.2 Prior to monitoring the measurement process, the user of the test method needs to determine the average value and control limits of the QC sample (see Practice D6299, Guide D6792, and MNL7⁶).
- X1.3 Record the QC results and analyze by control charts or other statistically equivalent techniques to ascertain the statistical control status of the total testing process (see Practice D6299, Guide D6792, and MNL7⁶). Any out-of-control data should trigger investigation for root cause(s). The results of this investigation may, but not necessarily, result in instrument recalibration.

- X1.4 In the absence of explicit requirements given in the test method, the frequency of QC testing is dependent on the criticality of the quality being measured, the demonstrated stability of the testing process, and customer requirements. Generally, a QC sample is analyzed each testing day with routine samples. The QC frequency should be increased if a large number of samples are routinely analyzed. However, when it is demonstrated that the testing is under statistical control, the QC testing frequency can be reduced. The QC sample precision should be checked against the ASTM test method precision to ensure data quality.
- X1.5 It is recommended that, if possible, the type of QC sample that is regularly tested be representative of the material routinely analyzed. An ample supply of QC sample material should be available for the intended period of use, and must be homogeneous and stable under the anticipated storage conditions. See Practice D6299, Guide D6792, and MNL76 for further guidance on QC and control charting techniques.

⁶ MNL7, Manual on Presentation of Data Control Chart Analysis, 6th ed., ASTM International, W. Conshohocken, PA.



SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Subcommittee D02.03 has identified the location of selected changes to this standard since the last issue (D7319–07) that may impact the use of this standard.

- (1) Added EN 15492 to Referenced Documents.
- (2) Changed Section 4.
- (3) Changed 6.3.
- (4) Eliminated references to Type II water after first reference in 8.2.
- (5) Added 11.3.

- (6) Added minimum shaking time to 11.4.2.
- (7) Added new repeatability and reproducibility statements to Section 15.
- (8) Recalculated repeatability and reproducibility values in Table 3.
- (9) Added note to Fig. 1.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the ASTM website (www.astm.org/COPYRIGHT/).