



# Standard Test Method for Volatile Matter in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D3175; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\varepsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

This standard has been approved for use by agencies of the Department of Defense.

#### 1. Scope

1.1 This test method determines the percentage of gaseous products, exclusive of moisture vapor, in the analysis sample which are released under the specific conditions of the test.

1.2 This test method for determination of volatile matter is empirical; because of its empirical nature, strict adherence to basic principals and permissible procedures is required to obtain valid results.

1.3 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

1.4 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as the standard.

## 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:<sup>2</sup>

D346 Practice for Collection and Preparation of Coke Samples for Laboratory Analysis

D2013 Practice for Preparing Coal Samples for Analysis

D3173 Test Method for Moisture in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke

#### 3. Terminology

3.1 Definition:

3.1.1 *sparking fuels*—within the context of this test method, fuels that do not yield a coherent cake as residue in the volatile matter determination but do evolve gaseous products at a rate sufficient to mechanically carry solid particles out of the

crucible when heated at the standard rate. Such coals normally include all low-rank noncaking coals and lignites but can also include those anthracites, semianthracites, bituminous, and cokes that lose solid particles as described above. These are defined as sparking fuels because particles escaping at the higher temperatures can become incandescent and spark as they are emitted.

## 4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 Volatile matter is determined by establishing the loss in weight resulting from heating a coal or coke under rigidly controlled conditions. The measured weight loss, corrected for moisture as determined in Test Method D3173 establishes the volatile matter content. Two procedures are described to permit conformity with differences in sample behavior.

4.2 In this empirical test method, the use of platinum crucibles shall be considered the standard reference method for volatile matter. Platinum crucibles shall be used in determining the volatile matter of coke and volatile matter determined for classification of coals by rank. Volatile matter determinations by some laboratories using alternate nickel-chromium alloy crucibles having the physical dimensions specified in 6.1 have been shown to differ from those obtained using platinum crucibles. A laboratory utilizing nickel-chromium crucibles shall first determine if a relative bias exists between the use of nickel-chromium and platinum crucibles on the coals being tested using the test method set forth in Annex A. Where a relative bias is shown to exist, the volatile matter determined using nickel-chromium crucibles shall be corrected by a factor determined through comparison of volatile matter results from both crucible types on coals being tested or analysis of samples of known proximate analysis.

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 Volatile matter, when determined as herein described, can be used to establish the rank of coals, to indicate coke yield on carbonization process, to provide the basis for purchasing and selling, or to establish burning characteristics.

Copyright © ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D05 on Coal and Coke and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D05.21 on Methods of Analysis.

Current edition approved March 1, 2007. Published April 2007. Originally approved in 1973. Last previous edition approved in 2002 as D3175 – 02. DOI: 10.1520/D3175-07.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

## 6. Apparatus

6.1 *Platinum Crucible*, with closely fitting cover, for coal. The crucible shall be of not less than 10 or more than 20-mL capacity, not less than 25 or more than 35 mm in diameter, and not less than 30 or more than 35 mm in height.

6.2 *Platinum Crucible*, with closely fitting cover, for coke. The crucible shall be of 10-mL capacity, with capsule cover having thin flexible sides fitting down into crucible. Or the double-crucible method can be used, in which the sample is placed in 10-mL platinum crucible, which is then covered with another crucible of such a size that it will fit closely to the sides of the outer crucible and its bottom will rest 8.5 to 12.7 mm (<sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub> to <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> in.) above the bottom of the outer crucible.

to 72 m.) above the bottom of the outer crucible.

6.3 Alternate Crucible Materials, Nickel-chromium crucible with closely fitting cover. The crucible shall not be less than 10 or more than 20 mL capacity, not less than 25 or more than 35 mm in diameter, and not less than 30 or more than 35 mm in height. Nickel-chromium crucibles shall be heat-treated for 4 h at 500°C to ensure that they are completely oxidized prior to use.

6.4 Vertical Electric Tube Furnace, for coal or coke. The electric furnace shall be a vertical tube furnace. The furnace can be of the form shown in Fig. 1. It shall be regulated to maintain a temperature of  $950 \pm 20^{\circ}$ C in the crucible, as measured by a thermocouple positioned in the furnace. The furnace shall accommodate sparking coals and meet the specifications set forth in 7.3.2.

6.5 Balance, sensitive to 0.1 mg.

#### 7. Procedure

7.1 The sample shall be the material pulverized to No. 60 (250-µm) sieve in accordance with Practice D2013 or Practice D346.

7.2 Procedure for Nonsparking Coals and Cokes:

7.2.1 Weigh 1 g of the sample in a weighed platinum crucible, close with a cover which fits closely enough so that the carbon deposit from bituminous, subbituminous, and lignite coals does not burn away from the underside, place on platinum or Nichrome-wire supports and insert directly into the furnace chamber, which shall be maintained at a temperature of  $950 \pm 20^{\circ}$ C, and lower immediately to the  $950^{\circ}$ C zone. Regulation of the temperature to within the prescribed limits is critical. After the more rapid discharge of volatile matter has subsided, as shown by the disappearance of the luminous flame, or in the case of coke, after 2 or 3 min, inspect the crucible (see Note 1) to verify that the lid is still properly sealed. If necessary, reseat the lid to guard against the admission of air into the crucible. Do this as rapidly as possible by raising the crucible to the top of the furnace chamber, reposition the lid to more perfectly seal the crucible, then lower the crucible immediately back to the 950°C zone. After heating for a total of exactly 7 min, remove the crucible from the furnace and without disturbing the cover, allow it to cool. Coke should be cooled in a desiccator. Weigh as soon as cold. The percentage loss of weight minus the percentage moisture equals the volatile matter. With some strongly caking lowvolatile and medium-volatile bituminous coals, the coke button can be broken with explosive violence due to the liberation of volatile matter within the button. This is usually designated as popping. Such popping can blow the lid off the crucible and cause mechanical losses of the coked material. When such popping is observed, the determination shall be rejected and the test repeated until popping does not occur.

Note 1—Inspection of the crucible can be aided by the use of a mirror held above the furnace well.

## 7.3 Modified Procedure for All Sparking Fuels:

7.3.1 Fuels that do not cake or cake weakly when volatile matter is determined shall be watched closely for sparking during the heating period (see Note 2); also, at the end of the test the crucible cover shall be inspected for ash deposits, and the presence of such deposits shall be considered as evidence of sparking.

7.3.2 All fuels that spark when the volatile matter is determined by the methods described in 7.1 shall be treated as follows: The sample shall be given a preliminary gradual heating such that a temperature of  $600 \pm 50^{\circ}$ C is reached in 6 min (Note 2). After this preliminary heating the sample shall be heated for exactly 6 min at 950  $\pm$  20°C. If sparking is then observed, the determination shall be rejected and the test repeated until no sparking occurs either during the preliminary heating or during the 6-min period at 950°C. Remove the crucible from the furnace, cool on a metal cooling block, and weigh. To ensure uniformity of results, keep the cooling period constant and do not prolong beyond 15 min. The percentage loss in weight minus the percent moisture in accordance with Test Method D3173, is the volatile matter. All analyses by this test method shall be so marked when reported to indicate that the modified procedure was used.

NOTE 2—If a tubular furnace of the Fieldner type (Fig. 1) is used for the determination of volatile matter, the preliminary gradual heating can be accomplished by moving the crucible to predetermined positions in the cooler top zone of the furnace. Due to variations in the heating characteristics of the furnace, the operator shall predetermine by thermocouple the proper positions to meet a preliminary heating rate as specified in 7.3.2. A mechanical device to lower the crucible into the furnace can be used to facilitate control of the lowering operation.

#### 8. Calculation

8.1 Calculate the weight loss percent as follows:

Weight loss, 
$$\% = [(A - B)/A] \times 100$$
 (1)

where:

A = weight of sample used, g, and

B = weight of sample after heating, g.

8.2 Calculate the volatile matter percent in the analysis samples as follows:

Volatile matter in analysis sample, 
$$\% = C - D$$
 (2)

where:

C = weight loss, %, and

D = moisture, %.

## 9. Precision and Bias

9.1 The precision of this test method for the determination of Volatile Matter in Coal are shown in Table 1. Repeatability and Reproducibility limits for this test method were determined



FIG. 1 Electric Furnace for Determining Volatile Matter

TABLE 1 Concentrations Range and Limits for Repeatability and Reproducibility of Volatile Matter in Coal

| Rank, %  | Repeatability<br>Limit,<br>r | Reproducibility<br>Limit,<br><i>R</i> |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| High-temperature coke<br>Anthracite<br>Semianthracite, bituminous, | 0.2<br>0.3                   | 0.4<br>0.6                            |
| low-temperature coke   | 0.5                          | 1.0                                   |
| Subbituminous  | 0.7                          | 1.4                                   |
| Lignite  | 1.0                          | 2.0                                   |

using only platinum crucibles. Repeatability and Reproducibility limits for nickel-chromium crucibles have not been determined.

9.1.1 *Repeatability Limit* (r)—The value below which the absolute difference between two test results of separate and consecutive test determinations, carried out on the same sample, in the same laboratory, by the same operator, using the same apparatus on samples taken at random from a single quantity of homogeneous material, can be expected to occur with a probability of approximately 95 %.

9.1.2 *Reproducibility Limit (R)*—The value below which the absolute difference between two test results carried out in different laboratories, using samples taken at random from a single quantity of material that is as homogeneous as possible, can be expected to occur with a probability of approximately 95 %.

9.2 *Bias*—Since this is an empirical test method when utilizing platinum crucibles, the degree of absolute bias can not be determined. Bias between the use of platinum and nickel-chromium crucibles shall be determined in the laboratory on coal being tested using the test method set forth in Annex A.

#### ANNEX

## A1. METHOD FOR DETERMINING RELATIVE BIAS BETWEEN PLATINUM AND NICKEL-CHROMIUM ALLOY CRUCIBLES

# A1.1 Scope

A1.1.1 This method describes the procedure for determining the component of relative bias between platinum and alternate nickel-chromium alloy crucibles.

## A1.2 Apparatus

A1.2.1 All Apparatus and equipment shall conform to the apparatus and equipment specifications given in the "Apparatus" Section of Test Method D3175 with the additional requirement that temperatures shall be measured with a Type K or N thermocouple with a standard tolerance of  $\pm 2.2$  C°.

## A1.3 Procedure

A1.3.1 Apply one of the two alternate methods given in the "Procedure" section of ASTM D3175 to a minimum of twenty pairs of the materials being tested, one member of each pair consisting of a one-gram analysis sample in a platinum crucible, and the other member of each pair consisting of a one-gram analysis sample of the same material in a nickel-chromium alloy crucible.

A1.3.2 The following additional limitations apply:

A1.3.2.1 The materials in the study group shall all be of the same groupings listed in Table 1:

- 1. Anthracite
- 2. Semianthracite, Bituminous
- 3. Subbituminous
- 4. Lignite

A1.3.2.2 The range of volatile matter contents within the study group shall not exceed 10% absolute. If the range of volatile matter routinely analyzed and within the same Table 1 grouping exceeds 10% absolute, analyze multiple groups to accommodate the expected range of volatile matter. Apply the appropriate relative bias to samples within the same volatile matter range. The relative bias shall be applicable only to analyses performed in the laboratory in which it is originally determined.

A1.3.2.3 All values determined in any experiment upon members of the study group shall be used in the statistical analysis (unless there is undeniable evidence that the data to be rejected is technically invalid).

A1.3.2.4 All materials within the study group shall be analyzed the same number of times.

A1.3.2.5 Confirmatory analyses for each study group (redetermination of relative bias) shall be conducted at least once per year to ascertain that normal wear of equipment (crucibles, thermocouples, furnace, etc.) does not result in changes to the conclusions of the experiment. See Table A1.2 as an example.

# A1.4 Assessment and Uses of Relative Bias Test Results

A1.4.1 Compute the differences between the matched pairs, subtracting the result obtained with the platinum crucible from the result obtained with the nickel-chromium alloy crucible results. Calculate the mean and the standard deviation of the differences. Multiply the standard deviation of the differences by the value of y corresponding to the number of pairs (0.85 for 20 pairs) from Table A1.1 This is the limit of bias at the 95% confidence level that could accidentally pass undetected by reason of statistical error. If this limit exceeds one-half the repeatability limits in Table 1, do not use nickel-chromium alloy crucibles for volatile determinations. If this limit is less than one-half the repeatability limits in Table 1, nickel-chromium alloy crucibles can be used provided the results

 TABLE A1.1 Factors for Maximum Difference That Could Escape

 Undetected 5% of the Time<sup>A</sup>

| n  | γ    |
|----|------|
| 10 | 1.29 |
| 11 | 1.22 |
| 12 | 1.15 |
| 13 | 1.10 |
| 14 | 1.05 |
| 15 | 1.01 |
| 16 | 0.97 |
| 17 | 0.94 |
| 18 | 0.91 |
| 19 | 0.88 |
| 20 | 0.85 |
| 21 | 0.83 |
| 22 | 0.81 |
| 23 | 0.79 |
| 24 | 0.77 |
| 25 | 0.76 |
| 26 | 0.74 |
| 27 | 0.72 |
| 28 | 0.71 |
| 29 | 0.70 |
| 30 | 0.68 |
| 31 | 0.67 |
| 32 | 0.66 |
| 33 | 0.65 |
| 34 | 0.64 |
| 35 | 0.63 |
| 36 | 0.62 |
| 37 | 0.61 |
| 38 | 0.60 |
| 39 | 0.59 |
| 40 | 0.59 |

<sup>A</sup>Multiply standard deviation of the Differences by  $\gamma$  for Number of Differences

| TABLE A1.2 | Example | of Data | for a Relative | Bias | Experiment |
|------------|---------|---------|----------------|------|------------|
|------------|---------|---------|----------------|------|------------|

| TABLE AT.2 EX |         | a neialive Dia | s Experiment |
|---------------|---------|----------------|--------------|
| Sample ID     | VM-NiCr | VM-Pt          | NiCr-Pt      |
| 1             | 37.66   | 37.14          | 0.52         |
| 2             | 41.98   | 41.83          | 0.15         |
| 3             | 39.50   | 38.89          | 0.61         |
| 4             | 40.35   | 39.93          | 0.42         |
| 5             | 40.35   | 40.09          | 0.26         |
| 6             | 39.77   | 39.30          | 0.47         |
| 7             | 33.90   | 33.43          | 0.47         |
| 8             | 36.18   | 35.74          | 0.44         |
| 9             | 33.81   | 33.65          | 0.16         |
| 10            | 36.36   | 36.09          | 0.27         |
| 11            | 38.19   | 37.41          | 0.78         |
| 12            | 41.06   | 40.68          | 0.38         |
| 13            | 42.29   | 41.70          | 0.59         |
| 14            | 34.73   | 34.34          | 0.39         |
| 15            | 42.03   | 41.87          | 0.16         |
| 16            | 38.01   | 37.47          | 0.54         |
| 17            | 40.55   | 39.76          | 0.79         |
| 18            | 37.51   | 37.15          | 0.36         |
| 19            | 38.09   | 37.83          | 0.26         |
| 20            | 40.78   | 40.68          | 0.10         |
| 21            | 41.84   | 41.84          | 0.00         |
| 22            | 38.44   | 38.14          | 0.30         |
| 23            | 41.69   | 41.53          | 0.16         |
|               |         |                |              |
| MEAN          |         |                | 0.37         |
| SD            |         |                | 0.21         |
| SD*0.79       |         |                | 0.16         |
| MINIMUM       |         | 33.43          |              |
| MAXIMUM       |         | 41.87          |              |

From Table A1.1, with n = 23, y = 0.79 and from Table A1.2, the standard deviation of the differences (SD) of the 23 sets is 0.21. The limit of bias is the product of these two values, SD \* y = 0.21% \* 0.79 = 0.16%. The limit of the bias cannot exceed 1/2 of the repeatability value given in Table A1.1 for the rank of coal being considered. The example category is "Semianthracite, Bituminous" for which the repeatability limit, r, is 0.5% (absolute) and, therefore, for which 1/2 r = 0.25%. The determined limit of bias for the data set in Table A1.2 is 0.16%, and this value is less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  r = (0.25%). This set of data passes the relative bias experiment and nickel-chromium crucibles may be used in testing these types of materials (with volatile matter values in the range from 33.43% thru 41.87%

obtained with nickel-chromium alloy crucibles are corrected by subtracting algebraically the test mean of the differences from nickel-chromium crucible results. Subtracting a negative value algebraically corresponds to adding the absolute value.

A1.4.2 Once the relative bias testing is concluded and acceptable results obtained, if the corrected volatile value obtained on any subsequent test specimen falls outside the range of values determined on the samples used in conducting the relative bias test (the range of values being that determined with Pt crucibles), because the value is outside of the method validation range, discard the results and perform the determination with a platinum crucible.

A1.4.3 For client and audit purposes, maintain records of all relative bias studies and volatile matter tests in such a manner that it can be ascertained how the values were corrected.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).